UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,907	01/16/2004	Christian Knopfle	60,500-115	7065
27305 7590 08/06/2007 HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C. THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101			EXAMINER	
			MENEZES, MARCUS	
	9400 WOODWARD AVENUE LOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2230 122.			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/758,907	KNOPFLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marcus Menezes	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 April 2007</u> .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 17-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 17-20 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
O) Claim(o) are caspect to recurrence and a respective space and a space						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 June 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3677

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the labeling of what constitutes the first and second arrangements must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/758,907

Art Unit: 3677

## Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the" should be deleted from the last line of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: a comma is needed after "blocking elements." Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, it is unclear whether Applicant is attempting to bring into the claim the sleeve. Examiner will interpret the claim as its subcombination; that just the handle is being claimed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-11 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hsich (US 5848561).

Art Unit: 3677

Hsich discloses a sleeve handle comprising an end that is constructed for mounting different types of sleeves; a first arrangement (21) for interacting with a mounted sleeve of a first type; and a second arrangement (211,221,22,23,24) for interacting with a mounted sleeve of at least one second type; wherein the first arrangement allows to prevent rotation of the mounted sleeve of the first type, relative to the handle, and the second arrangement allows for a guided rotation of the mounted sleeve of the second type, relative to said handle.

Hsich further discloses that said first arrangement is constructed so as to interact with a complementary arrangement on the sleeve of the first type in a form-locking or frictional locking manner.

Hsich further discloses that said first arrangement comprises at least one blocking element (the groove between sidewalls 212), which is capable of interacting with a complementary blocking element on the sleeve.

Hsich further discloses that said blocking element on said first arrangement is configured as a recess or as a projection.

Hsich further discloses that said first arrangement allows for a rotation-proof fixing of the sleeve of the first type in various angular positions with respect to the handle.

Hsich further discloses that said first arrangement possesses a plurality of blocking elements, which are spaced apart in the peripheral direction of an imaginary axis of rotation of the sleeve of the first type.

Art Unit: 3677

Hsich further discloses that said second arrangement possesses a guide element in the form of at least one guide projection (22) or at least one guide groove (211), which guide element is constructed so as to interact with a complementary guide element on the sleeve of the second type.

Hsich further discloses that said guide projection is pretensioned via a spring (23) in the direction of the guide groove.

Hsich further discloses that said second arrangement permits captive but rotatable mounting of the sleeve of the second type at the end of the handle.

Hsich further discloses that said second arrangement permits captive mounting of the sleeve, both of the first and also of the second type, at the end of the handle.

Hsich further discloses that said handle possesses, at its end which is constructed for mounting of the sleeves, an aperture into which said sleeves can be introduced, and wherein the second arrangement is disposed in a region of a side wall (212) of said aperture.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Menezes whose telephone number is 571-272-6284. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 5:30pm M-F.

Art Unit: 3677

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcus Menezes

Examiner

Art Unit 3677

MM

Katherine Mitchell Primary Examiner